arXiv:1611.08854v1 [math.CV] 27 Nov 2016

EQUIVARIANT BUNDLES AND CONNECTIONS

INDRANIL BISWAS AND ARJUN PAUL

ABSTRACT. Let X be a connected complex manifold equipped with a holomorphic action of a complex Lie group G. We investigate conditions under which a principal bundle on X admits a G–equivariance structure.

1. Introduction

Let G a complex Lie group and X a connected complex manifold equipped with a holomorphic action of G

$$\rho \,:\, G\times X \,\longrightarrow\, X\,.$$

Let E_H be a holomorphic principal H–bundle on X, where H is a connected complex Lie group. Given these, we construct a short exact sequence of holomorphic vector bundles

$$0 \,\longrightarrow\, \mathrm{ad}(E_H) \,\longrightarrow\, \mathrm{At}_\rho(E_H) \,\xrightarrow{q}\, X\times\mathfrak{g} \,\longrightarrow\, 0\,,$$

where $\mathfrak{g}$ is the Lie algebra of G and $\mathrm{ad}(E_H)$ is the adjoint vector bundle for E_H. The above vector bundle $\mathrm{At}_\rho(E_H)$ is a subbundle of the vector bundle $\mathrm{At}(E_H)\oplus(X\times\mathfrak{g})$, where $\mathrm{At}(E_H)$ is the Atiyah bundle for E_H (see (2.9)). A holomorphic G–connection on E_H is defined to be a holomorphic splitting of the above exact sequence. In other words, a holomorphic G–connection on E_H is a holomorphic homomorphism of vector bundles from the trivial vector bundle with fiber $\mathfrak{g}$

$$h \,:\, X\times\mathfrak{g} \,\longrightarrow\, \mathrm{At}_\rho(E_H)$$

such that $q\circ h \,=\, \mathrm{Id}_{X\times\mathfrak{g}}$.

Consider the pullback $\rho^* E_H$ as a holomorphic family of principal H–bundles on X parametrized by G. Let

$$\mu \,:\, \mathfrak{g} \,=\, T_eG \,\longrightarrow\, H^1(X,\, \mathrm{ad}(E_H))$$

be the infinitesimal deformation map for this family, where $e\,\in\, G$ is the identity element. We prove that E_H admits a holomorphic G–connection if and only if $\mu \,=\, 0$ (see Lemma 2.2).

Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the complex Lie group consisting of all pairs of the form $(y\,,z)$, where

- $z \,\in\, G$ such that the holomorphic principal H–bundle $(\rho^*E_H)|_{\{z\}\times X} \,\longrightarrow\, X$ is holomorphically isomorphic to E_H, and
- $y \,:\, E_H \,\longrightarrow\, (\rho^*E_H)|_{\{z\}\times X}$ is a holomorphic isomorphism of principal H–bundles.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32M10, 32L05, 14M17.

Key words and phrases. Group action, principal bundle, Atiyah bundle, G–connection.

This group $\mathcal{G}$ has natural actions on both X and E_H. We show that E_H has a tautological holomorphic $\mathcal{G}$–connection whose curvature vanishes identically (see Proposition 3.3). From this proposition it follows that every G–equivariant principal H–bundle on X has a holomorphic G–connection whose curvature vanishes identically (Lemma 4.1).

Finally, assume that G is semisimple and simply connected. Then E_H admits a G–equivariant structure if and only if E_H admits a holomorphic G–connection (Theorem 4.3). Theorem 4.3 implies that E_H admits a G–equivariant structure if and only if the holomorphic principal H–bundle $(\rho^* E_H)|_{\{z\}\times X}$ over X is holomorphically isomorphic to E_H for all $z \in G$ (Corollary 4.4).

Equivariant bundles with invariant connections are investigated in [BU] using a Jordan algebraic approach.

2. Atiyah exact sequence and group action

2.1. **Atiyah exact sequence.** Let H be a connected complex Lie group; its Lie algebra will be denoted by $\mathfrak{h}$. Let X be a connected complex manifold; its holomorphic tangent bundle will be denoted by TX. Take a holomorphic principal H–bundle on X

$$p \,:\, E_H \longrightarrow X\,. \tag{2.1}$$

Let

$$\psi \,:\, E_H \times H \longrightarrow E_H \tag{2.2}$$

be the action of H on E_H. We note that $p \circ \psi = p \circ p_{E_H}$, where $p_{E_H} : E_H \times H \longrightarrow E_H$ is the natural projection, and the action of H on each fiber of p is transitive and free. Let

$$dp \,:\, TE_H \longrightarrow p^*TX \tag{2.3}$$

be the differential of p, where TE_H is the holomorphic tangent bundle of E_H. Its kernel

$$T_{\rm rel} := \text{kernel}(dp) \subset TE_H$$

is known as the *relative tangent bundle* for p. So we get a short exact sequence of holomorphic vector bundles on E_H

$$0 \longrightarrow T_{\rm rel} \longrightarrow TE_H \stackrel{dp}{\longrightarrow} p^*TX \longrightarrow 0\,. \tag{2.4}$$

The differential of ψ in (2.2) produces a homomorphism from the trivial vector bundle on E_H with fiber $\mathfrak{h}$

$$E_H \times \mathfrak{h} \longrightarrow TE_H$$

which identifies $T_{\rm rel}$ with $E_H \times \mathfrak{h}$.

The action ψ in (2.2) produces an action of H on the total space of TE_H. The quotient

$$\text{At}(E_H) := (TE_H)/H$$

is a holomorphic vector bundle on $E_H/H = X$, which is known as the Atiyah bundle [At]. Let

$$\text{ad}(E_H) := E_H \times^H \mathfrak{h} \longrightarrow X \tag{2.5}$$

be the adjoint vector bundle for E_H which is associated to it for the adjoint action of H on $\mathfrak{h}$. The action of H on TE_H preserves the subbundle $T_{\rm rel}$. Using the above identification of $T_{\rm rel}$ with $E_H \times \mathfrak{h}$, we have

$$T_{\rm rel}/H \,=\, {\rm ad}(E_H)\,.$$

So after taking quotient by H, the exact sequence in (2.4) produces a short exact sequence of holomorphic vector bundles on X

$$0 \longrightarrow {\rm ad}(E_H) \stackrel{\iota}{\longrightarrow} {\rm At}(E_H) \stackrel{d'p}{\longrightarrow} TX \longrightarrow 0\,, \tag{2.6}$$

which is known as the *Atiyah exact sequence* [At]; the above homomorphism $d'p$ is given by dp in (2.3).

A C^∞ *connection* on E_H compatible with its holomorphic structure is a C^∞ splitting of the exact sequence in (2.6). A *holomorphic connection* on E_H is a holomorphic splitting of this exact sequence [At].

2.2. **Atiyah bundle for group action.** Let G be a complex Lie group acting holomorphically on the left of X with

$$\rho \,:\, G\times X \,\longrightarrow\, X \tag{2.7}$$

being the map giving the action. The Lie algebra of G will be denoted by $\mathfrak{g}$. The differential of ρ in (2.7) produces a $\mathcal{O}_X$–linear homomorphism from the trivial vector bundle on X with fiber $\mathfrak{g}$

$$d'\rho \,:\, X\times\mathfrak{g} \,\longrightarrow\, TX\,, \tag{2.8}$$

where $\mathcal{O}_X$ is the sheaf of holomorphic functions on X. We note that the image of $d'\rho$ need not be a subbundle of TX.

Consider the holomorphic homomorphism of vector bundles

$$\rho' \,:\, {\rm At}(E_H)\oplus (X\times\mathfrak{g}) \,\longrightarrow\, TX\,,\quad (v\,,w)\,\longmapsto\, d'p(v)-d'\rho(w)\,,$$

where $d'p$ and $d'\rho$ are constructed in (2.6) and (2.8) respectively. We note that ρ' is surjective because $d'p$ is surjective. Define the subsheaf

$${\rm At}_\rho(E_H) \,:=\, (\rho')^{-1}(0) \,\subset\, {\rm At}(E_H)\oplus (X\times\mathfrak{g}) \tag{2.9}$$

which is in fact a subbundle because ρ' is surjective.

We have two homomorphisms

$$\iota_0 \,:\, {\rm ad}(E_H) \,\longrightarrow\, {\rm At}_\rho(E_H)\,,\quad v\,\longmapsto\, (\iota(v)\,,0)\,,$$

where ι is constructed in (2.6), and

$$q \,:\, {\rm At}_\rho(E_H) \,\longrightarrow\, X\times\mathfrak{g}\,,\quad (v\,,w)\,\longmapsto\, w\,,$$

where $v\,\in\,{\rm At}(E_H)$ and $w\,\in\, X\times\mathfrak{g}$. Consequently, there is a short exact sequence of holomorphic vector bundles on X

$$0 \longrightarrow {\rm ad}(E_H) \stackrel{\iota_0}{\longrightarrow} {\rm At}_\rho(E_H) \stackrel{q}{\longrightarrow} X\times\mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow 0\,. \tag{2.10}$$

A holomorphic splitting of (2.10) is a holomorphic homomorphism of vector bundles

$$h \,:\, X\times\mathfrak{g} \,\longrightarrow\, {\rm At}_\rho(E_H)$$

such that $q \circ h = \mathrm{Id}_{X\times\mathfrak{g}}$.

Definition 2.1. A *holomorphic G–connection* on E_H is a holomorphic splitting of (2.10).

A holomorphic section of $\mathrm{At}(E_H)$ defined over an open subset $U \subset X$ is a H–invariant holomorphic vector field on $p^{-1}(U)$, where p is the projection in (2.1). The Lie bracket of two H–invariant holomorphic vector fields on $p^{-1}(U)$ is again a H–invariant holomorphic vector field on $p^{-1}(U)$. Therefore, the sheaf of holomorphic sections of $\mathrm{At}(E_H)$ has the structure of a Lie algebra. This and the complex Lie algebra structure of $\mathfrak{g}$ together produce a complex Lie algebra structure on the sheaf of holomorphic sections of $\mathrm{At}_\rho(E_H)$.

Since the adjoint action of H on $\mathfrak{h}$ preserves its Lie algebra structure, every fiber of the vector bundle $\mathrm{ad}(E_H)$ in (2.5) is a Lie algebra isomorphic to $\mathfrak{h}$. We note that the above Lie algebra structure on the fibers of $\mathrm{ad}(E_H)$ coincides with the one given by the Lie bracket of sections of T_{rel} (see (2.4) for T_{rel}).

The homomorphism ι_0 in (2.10) is compatible with the Lie bracket operations on the sections of $\mathrm{ad}(E_H)$ and $\mathrm{At}_\rho(E_H)$. Similarly, the homomorphism q is also compatible with the Lie bracket operations on the sections of $\mathrm{At}_\rho(E_H)$ and $X \times \mathfrak{g}$.

Let $h : X \times \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathrm{At}_\rho(E_H)$ be a holomorphic G–connection on E_H. For any two holomorphic sections s and t of the trivial holomorphic vector bundle $X \times \mathfrak{g}$ defined over an open subset $U \subset X$, consider

$$\mathcal{K}(h)(s,t) := [h(s)\,, h(t)] - h([s\,,t]) \in \Gamma(U,\, \mathrm{At}_\rho(E_H))\,.$$

Since the homomorphism q in (2.10) is compatible with the Lie algebra structures, it follows that $q(\mathcal{K}(h)(s,t)) = 0$. Hence $\mathcal{K}(h)(s,t)$ lies in the image of $\mathrm{ad}(E_H)$. We have

$$\mathcal{K}(h)(fs,t) = f\mathcal{K}(h)(s,t)$$

for any holomorphic function f defined on U. Also, clearly we have

$$\mathcal{K}(h)(s,t) = -\mathcal{K}(h)(t,s)\,.$$

Combining all these it follows that

$$\mathcal{K}(h) \in H^0(X,\, \mathrm{ad}(E_H) \otimes \bigwedge\nolimits^2 (X\times\mathfrak{g})^*) = H^0(X,\, \mathrm{ad}(E_H)) \otimes \bigwedge\nolimits^2 \mathfrak{g}^*\,. \tag{2.11}$$

This section $\mathcal{K}(h)$ will be called the *curvature* of the holomorphic G–connection h on E_H.

2.3. **Criterion for connection.** Henceforth, we will always assume that the complex manifold X is compact.

The space of all infinitesimal deformations of the holomorphic principal H–bundle E_H are parametrized by $H^1(X,\, \mathrm{ad}(E_H))$. Therefore, given any holomorphic principal H–bundle $\widetilde{E}_H$ on $T \times X$ with T being a complex manifold, and a holomorphic isomorphism of E_H with $\widetilde{E}_H|_{\{t\}\times X}$, where $t \in T$ is a fixed point, we have the infinitesimal deformation homomorphism

$$T_tT \longrightarrow H^1(X,\, \mathrm{ad}(E_H))\,,$$

where T_tT is the fiber at t of the holomorphic tangent bundle TT of T.

Let $\rho^* E_H \longrightarrow G \times X$ be the pulled back holomorphic principal H–bundle, where ρ is the map in (2.7). Considering it as a holomorphic family of principal H–bundles on X parametrized by G, we have the infinitesimal deformation homomorphism

$$\mu \,:\, \mathfrak{g} \,=\, T_e G \,\longrightarrow\, H^1(X,\, \mathrm{ad}(E_H))\,. \tag{2.12}$$

Lemma 2.2. *The principal H–bundle E_H admits a holomorphic G–connection if and only if $\mu \,=\, 0$.*

Proof. Let

$$H^0(X,\, \mathrm{At}_\rho(E_H)) \,\xrightarrow{\mu_1}\, H^0(X,\, X\times \mathfrak{g}) \,=\, \mathfrak{g} \,\xrightarrow{\mu_2}\, H^1(X,\, \mathrm{ad}(E_H)) \tag{2.13}$$

be the long exact sequence of cohomologies associated to the short exact sequence in (2.10).

We will show that the above homomorphism μ_2 coincides with μ in (2.12). This requires recalling the construction of μ. Take any $v \,\in\, \mathfrak{g}$. Let $\widetilde{v}$ be a holomorphic vector field defined around the identity element $e \,\in\, G$ such that $\widetilde{v}(e) \,=\, v$. Take open subsets $\{U_i\}_{i\in I}$ of $G\times X$ such that

(1) $\{e\}\times X \,\subset\, \bigcup_{i\in I} U_i$, and
(2) for each $i \,\in\, I$, the vector field $(\widetilde{v}\,, 0)$ on U_i lifts to a H–invariant vector field $\widetilde{v}_i$ on $(\rho^* E_H)|_{U_i}$; we choose such a vector field for each $i \,\in\, I$. (Here 0 denotes the zero vector field on X.)

Now for each ordered pair $(i\,, j) \,\in\, I\times I$, consider the vector field

$$\widetilde{v}_i - \widetilde{v}_j$$

on $p^{-1}(U_i \bigcap U_j \bigcap (\{e\}\times X)) \,\subset\, E_H$, where p is the projection in (2.1). They form a 1–cocycle with values in $\mathrm{ad}(E_H)$. The corresponding cohomology class in $H^1(X,\, \mathrm{ad}(E_H))$ is $\mu(v)$. From this it is straight–forward to check that μ coincides with μ_2 in (2.13).

First assume that $\mu_2 \,=\, 0$. So μ_1 in (2.13) is surjective. Fix a complex linear subspace $S \,\subset\, H^0(X,\, \mathrm{At}_\rho(E_H))$ such that the restriction

$$\mu_0 \,:=\, \mu_1|_S \,:\, S \,\longrightarrow\, \mathfrak{g}$$

is an isomorphism. Now define

$$h \,:\, X\times \mathfrak{g} \,\longrightarrow\, \mathrm{At}_\rho(E_H)\,,\quad (x\,, v) \,\longmapsto\, (\mu_0)^{-1}(v)(x)\,.$$

Clearly, we have $q\circ h \,=\, \mathrm{Id}_{X\times\mathfrak{g}}$, where q is the homomorphism in (2.10). Hence h defines a holomorphic G–connection on E_H.

Conversely, let $h \,:\, X\times \mathfrak{g} \,\longrightarrow\, \mathrm{At}_\rho(E_H)$ be a holomorphic G–connection on E_H. Let

$$h_* \,:\, H^0(X,\, X\times \mathfrak{g}) \,\longrightarrow\, H^0(X,\, \mathrm{At}_\rho(E_H)) \tag{2.14}$$

be the homomorphism induced by h. Since $\mu_1 \circ h_* \,=\, \mathrm{Id}_{H^0(X, X\times\mathfrak{g})}$, where μ_1 is the homomorphism in (2.13), it follows that μ_1 is surjective. Hence from the exactness of (2.13) we conclude that $\mu_2 \,=\, 0$. $\square$

2.4. **Homomorphisms and induced connection.** Let

$$f \,:\, G_1 \longrightarrow G$$

be a holomorphic homomorphism of complex Lie groups. Using f, the action of G on X produces an action of G_1 on X. More precisely,

$$\rho_1 \,:\, G_1 \times X \longrightarrow X\,, \quad (g\,,x) \longmapsto \rho(f(g)\,,x)\,,$$

where ρ is the map in (2.7), is a holomorphic action of G_1 on X. The Lie algebra of G_1 will be denoted by $\mathfrak{g}_1$. Let

$$df \,:\, \mathfrak{g}_1 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$$

be the homomorphism of Lie algebras associated to f. From the construction of $\mathrm{At}_\rho(E_H)$ in (2.9) it follows that

$$\mathrm{At}_{\rho_1}(E_H) \,=\, \{(y\,,z) \,\in\, \mathrm{At}_\rho(E_H) \oplus (X \times \mathfrak{g}_1) \mid q(y) \,=\, (\mathrm{Id}_X \times df)(z)\}\,, \tag{2.15}$$

where q is the homomorphism in (2.10).

Lemma 2.3. *A holomorphic G–connection h on E_H induces a holomorphic G_1–connection h_1 on E_H. The curvature $\mathcal{K}(h_1)$ coincides with the image of $\mathcal{K}(h)$ under the homomorphism*

$$H^0(X,\, \mathrm{ad}(E_H)) \otimes \bigwedge\nolimits^2 \mathfrak{g}^* \longrightarrow H^0(X,\, \mathrm{ad}(E_H)) \otimes \bigwedge\nolimits^2 \mathfrak{g}_1^*$$

given by the identity map of $H^0(X,\, \mathrm{ad}(E_H))$ and the homomorphism

$$\bigwedge\nolimits^2 \mathfrak{g}^* \longrightarrow \bigwedge\nolimits^2 \mathfrak{g}_1^*$$

induced by the dual homomorphism $(df)^* \,:\, \mathfrak{g}^* \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_1^*$.

Proof. Consider the description of $\mathrm{At}_{\rho_1}(E_H)$ in (2.15). Let

$$h_1 \,:\, X \times \mathfrak{g}_1 \longrightarrow \mathrm{At}_{\rho_1}(E_H)$$

be the homomorphism defined by

$$z \longmapsto (h((\mathrm{Id}_X \times df)(z))\,, z) \,\in\, \mathrm{At}_\rho(E_H) \oplus (X \times \mathfrak{g}_1)\,.$$

Then h_1 is a holomorphic G_1–connection on E_H. Its curvature $\mathcal{K}(h_1)$ is as described in the lemma. □

3. Connection and lifting an action

As before, X is equipped with a holomorphic action of G, and E_H is a holomorphic principal H–bundle on X.

Let $\mathrm{Aut}(E_H)$ denote the group of all holomorphic automorphisms of the principal H–bundle E_H over the identity map of X. In other words, an element $g \,\in\, \mathrm{Aut}(E_H)$ is a biholomorphism $E_H \xrightarrow{g} E_H$ such that

(1) $p \circ g \,=\, p$, where p is the projection in (2.1), and
(2) $\psi(g(z)\,,y) \,=\, g(\psi(z\,,y))$ for all $(z\,,y) \,\in\, E_H \times H$, where ψ is the action in (2.2).

This $\mathrm{Aut}(E_H)$ is a complex Lie group. Its Lie algebra is $H^0(X, \mathrm{ad}(E_H))$; the Lie algebra structure on the fibers of $\mathrm{ad}(E_H)$ produces a complex Lie algebra structure on $H^0(X, \mathrm{ad}(E_H))$.

Consider the action ρ in (2.7). For any $z \in G$, let

$$\rho_z : X \longrightarrow X \tag{3.1}$$

be the holomorphic automorphism defined by $x \longmapsto \rho(z\,, x)$.

Let

$$G_1 \subset G \tag{3.2}$$

be the subset consisting all $z \in G$ such that the pulled back principal H–bundle $\rho_z^* E_H$ is holomorphically isomorphic to E_H over the identity map of X. So $z \in G_1$ if and only only if there is a holomorphic automorphism of the principal H–bundle E_H over the automorphism ρ_z of X. Let $\mathcal{G}$ denote the space of all pairs of the form $(y\,, z)$, where $z \in G_1$, and

$$y : E_H \longrightarrow E_H$$

is a holomorphic automorphism of the principal H–bundle over the automorphism ρ_z of X. We observe that $\mathcal{G}$ is equipped with the group operation defined by

$$(y'\,, z') \cdot (y\,, z) = (y' \circ y\,, z'z)\,,$$

while the inverse is the map $(y\,, z) \longmapsto (y^{-1}\,, z^{-1})$. Therefore, $\mathcal{G}$ fits in the following short exact sequence of groups

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(E_H) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{\beta} G_1 \longrightarrow 0\,, \tag{3.3}$$

where $\beta(y\,, z) = z$ and $\alpha(t) = (t\,, e)$ with e being the identity element of G_1. There is a complex Lie group structure on $\mathcal{G}$ which is uniquely determined by the condition that (3.3) is a sequence of complex Lie groups.

The Lie algebra structure on the sheaf of sections of $\mathrm{At}_\rho(E_H)$ produces the structure of a complex Lie algebra on $H^0(X, \mathrm{At}_\rho(E_H))$.

Proposition 3.1. *The Lie algebra of $\mathcal{G}$ is canonically identified with the above Lie algebra $H^0(X, \mathrm{At}_\rho(E_H))$.*

Proof. The Lie algebra of $\mathcal{G}$ will be denoted by $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. We will show that there is a natural homomorphism from $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ to $H^0(X, \mathrm{At}_\rho(E_H))$.

First observe that the group $\mathcal{G}$ has a tautological action on the total space E_H. Indeed, the action of $(y\,, z) \in \mathcal{G}$ sends any $x \in E_H$ to $y(x) \in E_H$. It is straight-forward to check that this defines a holomorphic action of $\mathcal{G}$ on E_H. From the definition of $\mathcal{G}$ it follows immediately that this action of $\mathcal{G}$ commutes with the action of H on E_H. Consequently, we get a homomorphism of complex Lie algebras

$$h' : \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}} \longrightarrow H^0(X, \mathrm{At}(E_H))\,.$$

Now define

$$h_1 : \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}} \longrightarrow H^0(X, \mathrm{At}_\rho(E_H))\,, \quad v \longmapsto (h'(v)\,, d\beta(v)) \in H^0(X, \mathrm{At}(E_H)) \oplus \mathfrak{g}\,, \tag{3.4}$$

where $d\beta : \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}} \longrightarrow \text{Lie}(G_1) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is the homomorphism of Lie algebras associated to β in (3.3); it is straight-forward to check that

$$(h'(v)\,, d\beta(v)) \in H^0(X,\, \text{At}_\rho(E_H)) \subset H^0(X,\, \text{At}(E_H)) \oplus \mathfrak{g}\,;$$

see (2.9). Clearly, h_1 is an injective homomorphism of complex Lie algebras.

To prove that h_1 is surjective, take any $w \in H^0(X,\, \text{At}(E_H))$. Let $t \longmapsto \varphi_w^t$, $t \in \mathbb{C}$, be the 1–parameter family of biholomorphisms of E_H associated to w. We note that φ_w^t exists because X is compact and w is H–invariant. Since w is fixed by the action of H on E_H, it follows immediately that the biholomorphism φ_w^t commutes with the action of H on E_H for every t.

Now assume that there is an element $v \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that

$$(w\,, v) \in H^0(X,\, \text{At}_\rho(E_H)) \subset H^0(X,\, \text{At}(E_H)) \oplus \mathfrak{g}$$

(see (2.9)). Let $t \longmapsto \exp(tv)$, $t \in \mathbb{C}$, be the 1–parameter subgroup of G associated to v. Now we observe that $(\varphi_w^t\,, \exp(tv)) \in \mathcal{G}$. Indeed, this follows from the fact that the vector field on E_H given by w projects to the vector field on X given by v. Consequently, the above element $(w\,, v) \in H^0(X,\, \text{At}_\rho(E_H))$ lies in the image of the homomorphism h_1 in (3.4). Hence h_1 is surjective. □

In the proof of Proposition 3.1 we saw that $\mathcal{G}$ has a tautological action on E_H. Let

$$\eta : \mathcal{G} \times E_H \longrightarrow E_H$$

be this action.

Lemma 3.2. *There is a natural holomorphic isomorphism of vector bundles*

$$\text{At}_\eta(E_H) \longrightarrow \text{ad}(E_H) \oplus (X \times H^0(X,\, \text{At}_\rho(E_H)))\,,$$

where $X \times H^0(X,\, \text{At}_\rho(E_H))$ is the trivial vector bundle on X with fiber $H^0(X,\, \text{At}_\rho(E_H))$, and $\text{At}_\eta(E_H)$ is constructed as in (2.9).

Proof. Since $\text{At}_\eta(E_H)$ has a natural projection to $X \times \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ (see (2.10)), and Proposition 3.1 identifies $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ with $H^0(X,\, \text{At}_\rho(E_H))$, we obtain a projection

$$q_1 : \text{At}_\eta(E_H) \longrightarrow X \times H^0(X,\, \text{At}_\rho(E_H))\,.$$

The action of $\mathcal{G}$ on X factors through the action of G on X. Recall the description of $\text{At}_\eta(E_H)$ given in (2.15). Consider the projection

$$q' : \text{At}_\rho(E_H) \oplus (X \times \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}) = \text{At}_\rho(E_H) \oplus (X \times H^0(X,\, \text{At}_\rho(E_H))) \longrightarrow \text{At}_\rho(E_H)$$

that sends any $(z\,, (x\,, s))$, where $x \in X$, $z \in \text{At}_\rho(E_H)_x$ and $s \in H^0(X,\, \text{At}_\rho(E_H))$, to $z - s(x) \in \text{At}_\rho(E_H)_x$. From (2.15) it follows immediately, that

$$q \circ (q'|_{\text{At}_\eta(E_H)}) = 0\,,$$

where q is the projection in (2.10). Therefore, the restriction $q'|_{\text{At}_\eta(E_H)}$ produces a homomorphism

$$q_2 : \text{At}_\eta(E_H) \longrightarrow \text{kernel}(q) = \text{ad}(E_H)\,. \tag{3.5}$$

Now it is straight-forward to check that the composition

$$q_2 \oplus q_1 \,:\, \mathrm{At}_\eta(E_H) \longrightarrow \mathrm{ad}(E_H) \oplus (X \times H^0(X,\, \mathrm{At}_\rho(E_H)))\,,$$

is an isomorphism. □

Proposition 3.3. *The principal H–bundle E_H has a tautological holomorphic $\mathcal{G}$–connection. The curvature of this holomorphic $\mathcal{G}$–connection on E_H vanishes identically.*

Proof. Let $\iota' \,:\, \mathrm{ad}(E_H) \longrightarrow \mathrm{At}_\eta(E_H)$ be the natural inclusion (see (2.10)). For the homomorphism q_2 in (3.5), we have

$$q_2 \circ \iota' \,=\, \mathrm{Id}_{\mathrm{ad}(E_H)}\,.$$

Therefore, kernel(q_2) provides a holomorphic splitting of the analog of the short exact sequence (2.10) for $\mathrm{At}_\eta(E_H)$. In other words, kernel(q_2) defines a holomorphic $\mathcal{G}$–connection on E_H.

Since the homomorphism q_2 preserves the Lie algebra structure on the sheaf of sections of $\mathrm{At}_\eta(E_H)$ and $\mathrm{ad}(E_H)$, it follows that the sheaf of sections of kernel(q_2) is closed under the Lie algebra structure on the sheaf of sections of $\mathrm{At}_\eta(E_H)$. Therefore, the curvature of the holomorphic $\mathcal{G}$–connection on E_H defined by kernel(q_2) vanishes identically. □

4. Equivariant bundles and connection

Now-onwards, we assume that the Lie group G is connected.

An equivariance structure on the principal H–bundle E_H is a holomorphic action of G on the total space of E_H

$$\rho_E \,:\, G \times E_H \longrightarrow E_H$$

such that

(1) $p \circ \rho_E \,=\, \rho \circ (\mathrm{Id}_G \times p)$, where p and ρ are the maps in (2.1) and (2.7) respectively, and
(2) $\rho_E \circ (\mathrm{Id}_G \times \psi) \,=\, \psi \circ (\rho_E \times \mathrm{Id}_H)$ as maps from $G \times E_H \times H$ to E_H, where ψ is the action in (2.2).

An equivariant principal H–bundle is a principal H–bundle with an equivariance structure.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $(E_H\,, \rho_E)$ be an equivariant principal H–bundle. Then E_H has a tautological holomorphic G–connection. The curvature of this holomorphic G–connection vanishes identically.*

Proof. Note that for any $g \in G$, the map

$$\rho_E^g \,:\, E_H \longrightarrow E_H\,, \quad z \longmapsto \rho_E(g\,, z)\,,$$

is an automorphism of the principal H–bundle E_H over the automorphism ρ_g of X in (3.1). Therefore, the group G_1 in (3.2) coincides with G. In fact, the above map

$$g \longrightarrow \rho_E^g$$

is a homomorphism

$$\beta_E : G \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}$$

such that $\beta \circ \beta_E = \mathrm{Id}_G$, where β is the homomorphism in (3.3).

Consider the tautological holomorphic $\mathcal{G}$–connection in Proposition 3.3. In view of the above homomorphism β_E, using Lemma 2.3 it produces a holomorphic G–connection. From Lemma 2.3 it also follows that the curvature of this holomorphic G–connection vanishes identically. □

The following is a converse of Lemma 4.1.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $h : X \times \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathrm{At}_\rho(E_H)$ be a holomorphic G–connection on E_H such that the curvature vanishes identically. Assume that G is simply connected. Then there is an equivariance structure*

$$\rho_E : G \times E_H \longrightarrow E_H$$

such that the holomorphic G–connection associated to it by Lemma 4.1 coincides with h.

Proof. Recall that $H^0(X, \mathrm{At}_\rho(E_H)) = \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{G})$ by Proposition 3.1. Let

$$h_* : \mathfrak{g} = H^0(X, X \times \mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow H^0(X, \mathrm{At}_\rho(E_H)) = \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}$$

be the $\mathbb{C}$–linear map induced by h. Since the curvature of the holomorphic G–connection h vanishes identically, it follows that h_* is a homomorphism of Lie algebras. As G is simply connected, there is a unique holomorphic homomorphism of complex Lie groups

$$\gamma : G \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}$$

such that the differential $d\gamma(e) : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ coincides with h_*. Now γ produces an equivariance structure on E_H; recall that $\mathcal{G}$ acts on E_H. The corresponding holomorphic G–connection given by Lemma 4.1 clearly coincides with h. □

4.1. **The group G is semisimple.** We now assume that G is a semisimple and simply connected affine algebraic group defined over $\mathbb{C}$.

The following theorem show that holomorphic G–connections produce G–equivariance structures.

Theorem 4.3. *Let E_H be a holomorphic principal H–bundle on X admitting a holomorphic G–connection h. Then E_H admits an equivariance structure*

$$\rho_E : G \times E_H \longrightarrow E_H\,.$$

Proof. Consider the homomorphisms μ_1 and h_* constructed in (2.13) and (2.14) respectively. Since

$$\mu_1 \circ h_* = \mathrm{Id}_{H^0(X, X\times\mathfrak{g})} = \mathrm{Id}_{\mathfrak{g}}\,,$$

we know that the Lie algebra homomorphism μ_1 is surjective. As $\mathfrak{g}$ is semisimple, there is a Lie subalgebra

$$\mathcal{S} \subset H^0(X, \mathrm{At}_\rho(E_H))$$

such that the restriction

$$\widehat{\mu} := \mu_1|_{\mathcal{S}} : \mathcal{S} \longrightarrow H^0(X, X \times \mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{g}$$

is an isomorphism [Bo, p. 91, Corollaire 3]. Fix a subspace $\mathcal{S}$ as above. Define $\widehat{h}$ to be the composition

$$H^0(X, X \times \mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{\widehat{\mu}^{-1}} \mathcal{S} \hookrightarrow H^0(X, \operatorname{At}_\rho(E_H)) .$$

Since $\widehat{\mu}$ is a homomorphism of Lie algebras, it follows that $\widehat{h}$ is a holomorphic G–connection on E_H such that the curvature vanishes identically. Now the theorem follows from Lemma 4.2. □

Corollary 4.4. *Let E_H be a holomorphic principal H–bundle on X such that the pulled back principal H–bundle $\rho_z^* E_H$ is holomorphically isomorphic to E_H for every $z \in G$, where ρ_z is defined in* (3.1)*. Then E_H admits an equivariance structure.*

Proof. Since $\rho_z^* E_H$ is holomorphically isomorphic to E_H for all $z \in G$, it follows that the infinitesimal deformation map μ in (2.12) is the zero homomorphism. Therefore, E_H admits a holomorphic G–connection by Lemma 2.2. Now Theorem 4.3 completes the proof. □

5. Some examples

5.1. **Trivial action.** Consider the trivial action of G on X. So $\rho(g\,, x) = x$ for all $g \in G$ and $x \in X$. In this case

$$\operatorname{At}_\rho(E_H) = \operatorname{ad}(E_H) \oplus (X \times \mathfrak{g}) .$$

Therefore, a holomorphic G–connection on E_H is a holomorphic homomorphism $X \times \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \operatorname{ad}(E_H)$. Note that there is a tautological holomorphic G–connection on E_H given by the zero homomorphism from $X \times \mathfrak{g}$ to $\operatorname{ad}(E_H)$.

5.2. **Trivial tangent bundle.** Let X be a compact complex manifold such that the holomorphic tangent bundle TX is holomorphically trivial. Then a theorem of Wang says that there is a complex connected Lie group G and a discrete subgroup $\Gamma \subset G$, such that X is biholomorphic to G/Γ [Wa, p. 774, Theorem 1]. Fix an isomorphism of X with G/Γ. Let ρ be the left translation action of G on $G/\Gamma = X$. In this case, the homomorphism $d'\rho$ in (2.8) is an isomorphism. Therefore, the Atiyah exact sequence in (2.6) coincides with the exact sequence in (2.10).

Consequently, holomorphic G–connections on E_H are same as holomorphic connections on E_H.

5.3. **Smooth toric variety.** Let X be a smooth complex projective toric manifold such that the subvariety $D \subset X$ where the torus action is not free is actually a simple normal crossing divisor. Then the logarithmic tangent bundle $TX(-\log D)$ is holomorphically trivial [BDP, p. 317, Lemma 3.1(2)]. Therefore, the holomorphic G–connections on E_H are the logarithmic connections on E_H singular over D. Hence [BDP, p. 319, Proposition 3.2] follows from Lemma 4.1. We note that [BDP, p. 324, Theorem 4.2] can also be proved modifying the proof of Theorem 4.3.

5.4. **Homogeneous manifolds.** Let M be a closed subgroup of a complex connected Lie group G. The group G acts on $X := G/M$ as left–translations. The left–translation action of G on itself will be denoted by θ. Consider the short exact sequence in (2.10) over X. Pull this exact sequence back to G by the quotient map $q : G \longrightarrow G/M$. Note that this pullback is of the form

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{ad}(q^*E_H) \longrightarrow \mathrm{At}_\theta(q^*E_H) \longrightarrow G \times \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow 0\,, \tag{5.1}$$

where $\mathfrak{g}$ is the Lie algebra of G. Observe that (5.1) coincides with the Atiyah exact sequence for q^*E_H.

Now consider the right–translation action of M on G. Since q^*E_H is pulled back from G/M, it follows that q^*E_H is a M–equivariant principal H–bundle on G.

From the above observation that (5.1) is the Atiyah exact sequence for q^*E_H it follows that the holomorphic G–connections on E_H are precisely the M–equivariant holomorphic connections on the M–equivariant principal H–bundle on q^*E_H.

References

[At] M. F. Atiyah, Complex analytic connections in fibre bundles, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **85** (1957), 181–207.

[BDP] I. Biswas, A. Dey and M. Poddar, Equivariant principal bundles and logarithmic connections on toric varieties, *Pacific Jour. Math.* **280** (2016), 315–325.

[BU] I. Biswas and H. Upmeier, Homogeneous holomorphic hermitian principal bundles over hermitian symmetric spaces, *New York Jour. Math.* **22** (2016), 21–47.

[Bo] N. Bourbaki, Éléments de mathématique. XXVI. Groupes et algèbres de Lie. Chapitre 1: Algèebres de Lie, Actualités Sci. Ind. No. 1285, Hermann, Paris 1960.

[Wa] H.-C. Wang, Complex parallisable manifolds, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **5** (1954), 771–776.

School of Mathematics, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Homi Bhabha Road, Mumbai 400005, India

E-mail address: indranil@math.tifr.res.in

School of Mathematics, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Homi Bhabha Road, Mumbai 400005, India

E-mail address: apmath90@math.tifr.res.in